ADVANCEMENTS IN CONCRETE TECHNOLOGY: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF SUSTAINABLE AND HIGH-PERFORMANCE CONCRETE

Smt. Shilpa B. Patel
Lecturer in Applied Mechanics Department
Government Polytechnic, Himmatnagar

Abstract

Because of its strength, durability, and versatility, concrete continues to be the most used building material in the world. However, because of their high carbon dioxide emissions and resource consumption, traditional concrete processes have a considerable negative impact on the environment. Recent developments in concrete technology have addressed these issues by improving performance and sustainability to satisfy the expanding needs of contemporary infrastructure. A thorough examination of sustainable and high-performance concrete (HPC) is provided in this paper, with a focus on new developments in materials including nanomaterials, recycled aggregates, geopolymer binders, and supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs). It also looks at how smart concrete systems, self-healing technology, and sophisticated admixtures can enhance mechanical qualities, longevity, and environmental performance. Concrete with improved microstructural properties and long-term robustness has been made possible by the incorporation of nanotechnology. This study highlights the current trends, practical obstacles, and future potential in the subject by critically analysing laboratory findings, real-world applications, and environmental implications. According to the findings, it is both possible and crucial for the construction sector to move towards more environmentally friendly and long-lasting concrete solutions.

Keywords: Advancements Concrete Technology, Sustainable, High-Performance Concrete

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Concrete Use in Civil Engineering

Because of its simplicity of manufacture, moldability, high compressive strength, and long-term durability, concrete is still the most extensively used construction material and is the foundation of modern infrastructure development [1]. Residential, commercial, transit, and industrial building are just a few of the industries that use it. However, the traditional method of producing concrete, especially Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC), is linked to major environmental deterioration, high carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions, and the use of natural resources like water, sand, and gravel.[2] The worldwide construction sector is facing mounting pressure to transition to more environmentally friendly options as awareness of climate change and resource conservation grows.[3]

1.2 Need for Sustainable and High-Performance Materials

There are several urgent reasons why sustainable and high-performance concrete is needed: As infrastructure has grown as a result of urbanisation, long-lasting and low-maintenance materials are needed.[4] Alternatives that lower carbon footprints and support circular economy principles are required due to environmental stress brought on by global warming and the depletion of natural aggregates.[5] Concrete that can withstand corrosion, chemical assaults, and freeze-thaw cycles is required to meet durability difficulties in harsh locations (such as industrial zones and maritime areas).[6] By improving mechanical strength, durability, and environmental compatibility, high-performance concrete (HPC) and sustainable concrete substitutes (such as geopolymer concrete, the use of SCMs, and recycled aggregates) solve these issues.[7]

1.3 Objectives and Scope of the Review Paper

This review's primary goals are to

: [1] Examine current developments in concrete technology that support sustainability and improved performance.

[2] To assess how adding elements like SCMs, recycled aggregates, geopolymer binders, and nanomaterials can enhance the qualities of concrete.

[3] To talk about how smart concrete systems, self-healing mechanisms, and sophisticated admixtures affect the durability and functionality of concrete.

[4] To critically assess practical uses, obstacles, and unmet research needs in the large-scale deployment of these technologies

.2. TRADITIONAL CONCRETE: LIMITATIONS AND CHALLENGES

2.1. Components and Characteristics of Traditional Concrete

Cement (usually Ordinary Portland Cement, or OPC), water, fine and coarse aggregates, and occasionally simple chemical admixtures make up traditional concrete. Despite offering adequate compressive strength and adaptability, this composition has a number of serious drawbacks.[8] Poor resistance to chemical attacks in hostile conditions including industrial or marine zones; Cracking, shrinking, and creeping susceptibility; Low tensile strength, necessitating reinforcing.• High permeability, which eventually causes reinforcement to corrode.[9] Traditional concrete constructions' long-term durability and service life are shortened by these disadvantages, particularly under harsh environmental circumstances.

.2.2 Problems: High CO_2 Emissions, Durability Issues

The substantial carbon footprint of conventional concrete is among the most urgent issues. About 8% of CO_2 emissions worldwide come from the production of OPC alone, mostly as a result of the following: The significant energy consumption during cement manufacturing; • The calcination of limestone, which releases CO_2. The depletion of natural resources caused by the extraction of raw materials. Additionally, conventional concrete frequently has poor durability, particularly under harsh circumstances including sulphate assaults, chloride-induced corrosion, and freeze-thaw cycles. These flaws lead to early deterioration, higher maintenance expenses, and structural breakdowns. [10]

2.3 Environmental Impact and Lifecycle Performance

Traditional concrete's environmental impact is present throughout its whole lifecycle: Phase of extraction: Depletion of resources (sand, water, and limestone). Phase of production: excessive energy use, contamination of the air and water. Use phase: Concrete buildings with inadequate insulation have lower energy efficiency. End-of-life: Frequently results in low recycling rates and demolition debris. Conventional concrete's life cycle assessment (LCA) reveals a low sustainability index, especially when compared to contemporary environmental norms. Significant sustainability problems are raised by the long-term CO_2 emissions and embodied energy. [11]

2.4 Need for Alternatives and Improvements

The shortcomings of conventional concrete highlight how urgently better technology and substitute materials are needed. Solutions like as recycled aggregates, nano-modified binders, fly ash, GGBS, and silica fume, geopolymer concrete, and SCMs are being used more and more to: Reduce carbon emissions; Improve mechanical and durability qualities; Reduce waste and support circular economy principles. These developments represent a paradigm change towards long-lasting, intelligent, and sustainable concrete systems that support both global climate goals and green building requirements.

3. HIGH-PERFORMANCE CONCRETE (HPC)

3.1 Definition and Properties

High-Performance Concrete (HPC) is a unique type of concrete that has better performance attributes than regular concrete, such as increased workability and finishability; increased durability and lifespan; and high compressive and tensile strength. Increased resistance to abrasion, chemical attack, and environmental deterioration and decreased permeability[12] The American Concrete Institute (ACI) states that HPC is characterised by a mix of performance qualities suited to particular uses rather than a single strength (e.g., high strength, long term durability, or resistance to harsh conditions).[13]

3.2 Types of High-Performance Concrete

There are several subtypes of HPC, each designed for a specific function: Concrete that compacts itself (SCC):Vibration is not necessary with SCC because it is highly flow able and non-segregating. It improves construction quality and speed, particularly in places with a lot of reinforcement. When reinforced with steel or polymeric fibres, Ultra-High Performance Concrete (UHPC) exhibits enhanced tensile strength and compressive strength exceeding 150 MPa. It also resists impacts and is incredibly durable.[14] Fiber-Reinforced High-Performance Concrete (FR-HPC): Enhances post-cracking behaviour, ductility, and crack resistance by adding micro or macro fibres (steel, glass, or polymer).[15]

3.3 Materials Used in HPC

HPC frequently uses a mix of cutting-edge materials to produce the required qualities: SCMs, or supplemental cementitious materials: Strengthening and decreasing permeability are two benefits of silica fume .Enhance workability, sustainability, and durability with fly ash and GGBS. Admixtures of Chemicals: Superplasticizers, often known as high-range water reducers, increase workability without adding more water. Viscosity-modifying agents: Prevent bleeding and segregation, particularly in SCC. [16] Nanoparticles: Nano-silica: Enhances interfacial transition zones and refines pore structure. Carbon nanotubes: Improve electrical and mechanical characteristics.

3.4 Applications and Performance Case Studies

Among the many uses for HPC that call for high strength, minimal maintenance, and extended service life are bridges and highways (e.g., precast segments, bridge decks). Skyscrapers and other high-rise structures Marine structures that are vulnerable to sulphate and chloride attacks Structures for nuclear containment (because of poor permeability) Example of a Case Study: High-performance concrete mixtures were used in the Millau Viaduct in France and the Queensferry Crossing in Scotland to ensure lifetime and reduce structural weight while withstanding harsh climatic conditions.[17]

3.5.Challenges in Mix Design and Cost

Notwithstanding its advantages, HPC poses a number of real-world difficulties: Complex mix design: necessitates exact ratios and compatibility between admixtures and SCMs. Control of quality: sensitive to changes in the environment and raw materials. Costlier: Although lifecycle costs are often lower, premium materials (such as silica fume, fibres, and nano-additives) have higher starting costs. Balance between workability and strength is particularly important for self-compacting or ultra-high-strength varieties. Advanced testing capabilities, experienced labour, and ongoing research and development are needed to meet these challenges.[18]

4. SUSTAINABLE CONCRETE TECHNOLOGIES

4.1 Green Concrete: Use of Industrial Waste

Green concrete is made by partially substituting natural aggregates and cement with ecologically friendly ingredients and industrial waste. The following are examples of frequently used Supplementary Cementitious Materials (SCMs): • Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag (GGBS), a by-product of the steel industry that reduces heat of hydration and increases durability. Fly ash, a by-product of burning coal that improves workability and lowers permeability. Rice Husk Ash (RHA): a high-silica agricultural by-product that raises the sustainability index and pozzolanic activity of concrete. By using these materials, less Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) is used, which lowers carbon emissions and preserves natural resources.[19]

4.2 Recycled Aggregates and Materials

As a sustainable substitute for natural aggregates, recycled aggregates (RA) made from construction and demolition waste (CDW) are becoming more and more popular. Benefits include: Less garbage going to landfills. Preservation of natural resources. Encouragement of a circular economy in the building industry. Although meticulous quality control and grading are necessary to guarantee performance uniformity, recycled materials including crushed concrete, ceramic waste, and reclaimed asphalt pavement can be employed successfully.[20]

4.3 Geopolymer Concrete: Alkali-Activated Binders

Alkali-activated alumino-silicates, such as fly ash or slag, are used as a binder in geopolymer concrete rather than cement. It provides: • Outstanding resistance to sulphate and acid assaults High early strength Emissions of CO_2 are 80–90% lower than OPC This technique supports net-zero goals and works especially well for marine structures, precast elements, and projects at precinct scale. Standardisation and alkali supply chains, for example, continue to be obstacles to broad use. [21]

4.4 Carbon Capture Concrete and CO_2 Curing Techniques

In the early phases of curing, fresh concrete is injected with carbon dioxide using cutting-edge techniques like CO_2 curing. Among the advantages are: CO_2 mineralisation as calcium carbonate that is permanent. Porosity reduction and strength increase. A partial reduction in the carbon footprint caused by the manufacture of cement. By converting concrete into a carbon sink, this technique lowers lifecycle emissions in conjunction with carbon capture and utilisation (CCU) technology.

4.5 Case Studies of Sustainable Concrete in Infrastructure Projects

Sustainable concrete solutions have been included into a number of major infrastructure projects: Bandra- Worli Sea Link (India): For increased durability, fly ash-based concrete was used. London Olympic Park (UK): GGBS-blended concrete and recyclable aggregates were used. Marina One (Singapore): This high-rise building used low-carbon materials and geopolymer concrete. These case studies highlight the long-term environmental and financial advantages of sustainable concrete by proving its viability and effectiveness in practical applications.

5. NANOTECHNOLOGY IN CONCRETE

5.1 Use of Nanomaterials in Concrete

Through the introduction of nanoscale materials that improve performance beyond accepted bounds, nanotechnology is transforming the field of concrete technology. The following nanomaterials are frequently utilised in concrete: Nano-silica (SiO_2): Improves interfacial transition zones (ITZs), decreases porosity, and increases pozzolanic reaction. Carbon nanotubes (CNTs): Provide remarkable electrical conductivity, fracture resistance, and tensile strength. Al2O3 (nano-alumina): Enhances the hydration process and adds greater durability and compressive strength. TiO_2, or nano-titanium dioxide, adds photocatalytic and self-cleaning qualities (e.g., for air pollutant reduction). These additives significantly improve strength, permeability, and microstructural qualities when applied in tiny amounts (usually less than 5% by weight of cement). [22]

5.2 Influence on Microstructure, Strength, Durability, and Shrinkage

At the molecular level, nanomaterials improve the microstructure of cementitious composites and affect the hydration kinetics: Microstructure refinement: By filling up pores and gaps, nano-silica creates a denser concrete matrix. Compressive and flexural strength: By repairing microcracks and enhancing crystalline phases, CNTs and nano-alumina improve mechanical strength. Improvement in durability: Better resistance to carbonation, sulphate assault, and chloride ingress results from reduced permeability. Shrinkage reduction: Because of their enhanced pore structure and internal curing, evenly distributed nanoparticles can reduce autogenous shrinkage and early-age cracking. [23]

5.3 Methods of Incorporating Nanomaterials

The effectiveness of nanoparticles depends on their dispersion. Typical methods to avoid agglomeration include: Ultrasonic mixing and mechanical stirring. CNTs are dispersed with the help of surfactants to increase their compatibility with the cement matrix. Commercially available pre-mixed nano-enhanced admixtures designed for simple integration. In order to improve early-age and long-term qualities, homogeneous dispersion guarantees that nanoparticles interact with the cement hydration products in an efficient manner.[24]

5.4 Research Trends and Practical Applications

Considerable research was conducted between 2017 and 2019 on the following topics: Nano-silica optimisation in self-compacting and high-performance concrete. Graphene oxide and carbon nanotube integration for intelligent or multipurpose concrete. Simulating how nanoparticles affect strength, porosity, and hydration. Practical experiments with high-rise building components and precast concrete elements. Although issues with pricing, dispersion stability, and scaling up still exist, nanotechnology is opening the door for intelligent and sustainable concrete of the future.

.6. INNOVATIONS IN ADMIXTURES AND ADDITIVES

6.1 Superplasticizers, Retarders, Air-Entraining Agents & Corrosion Inhibitors

Concrete characteristics are greatly improved by contemporary admixtures in both fresh and hardened states: High-range water reducers, or superplasticizers, increase workability without adding water, allowing for formulations with ultra-high strength and self-consolidation. In hot climates or during large pours, retarders regulate the setting time. To increase durability and improve freeze-thaw resistance in cold climates, air entraining agents create stable air bubbles. By protecting embedded steel, corrosion inhibitors (such as calcium nitrite or organic amines) lower long-term maintenance costs and increase longevity. In high-performance and sustainable concrete systems, these additives enhance environmental resilience as well as performance objectives. [25]

6.2 Smart / Self-Healing Concrete Technologies

Concrete can now automatically patch microcracks and increase the lifespan of structures thanks to advancements in self-healing technologies: Bacillus subtilis and Sporosarcina pasteurii are examples of encapsulated spores that, when activated in cracks, precipitate calcium carbonate (CaCO3), closing the cracks and improving their mechanical integrity. Large-scale field trials (such as the UK's M4L project, Highway A465 panels) showed combinations of microcapsules, bacteria, vascular networks, and shape-memory polymers used in rural highway concrete with promising crack recovery in real-world conditions. • Microcapsules: contain mineral or polymeric healing agents that release upon crack occurrence, bonding with embedded catalysts to bridge gaps and restore continuity. These technologies enable sustainable maintenance practices, extend service intervals, and lessen the need for manual repair.[26]

6.3 Phase-Change Materials (PCMs) for Thermal Regulation

Phase transition materials store and release latent heat, improving the thermal performance of concrete: To lessen temperature fluctuations and HVAC energy consumption, PCMs are frequently used into floor slabs, precast blocks and building envelopes. Research shows that although PCMs may somewhat decrease compressive strength, they significantly increase thermal comfort and energy efficiency (e.g., form-stable salt hydrates in cement mortar). One viable path towards energy-efficient constructions with lower operating emissions is the integration of PCMs into concrete. [27]

6.4 Impact on Sustainability and Lifecycle Performance

Longer durability through self-healing and corrosion management lowers the requirement for material replacement and maintenance cycles, which is essential for sustainable infrastructure. Reduced embodied energy and carbon: Lifecycle emissions decrease as a result of longer service life and less need for traditional maintenance. Over the course of a building's life cycle, thermal management with PCMs results in decreased environmental impact and operational energy savings. From material procurement to end-of-life, concrete structures can achieve enhanced resilience and greener performance by integrating intelligent admixtures and additives with sustainable design.

7. TESTING AND EVALUATION OF NEW CONCRETE TYPES

7.1 Fresh Concrete Testing

Fresh-state tests evaluate setting behaviour, consistency, and workability: Slump Test and Slump Flow Test: To determine the workability of fresh concrete, the slump test (ASTM C143) examines the vertical settlement of the material. The slump flow (flow table) test is used to quantify horizontal spread in high-flow mixes, such as SCC and UHPC. Additional Fresh-State Initiatives: In order to assess fluidity and passing through reinforcement, SCC performance evaluations take into account slump flow diameter, V funnel flow time, and L box passing ability. [28]

7.2 Hardened Concrete Testing

The following are critical assessments of durability and structural performance:
• Standard cube/cylinder crushing, splitting tensile, and third point flexural tests (e.g. ASTM C39, C78, C496) are used to determine compressive, tensile, and flexural strength in order to benchmark structural capacity. Permeability, absorption, chloride diffusion, carbonation depth, freeze-thaw cycles, sulphate resistance, and shrinkage are examples of durability testing. These are frequently evaluated by accelerated performance tests that are correlated with long-term field behaviour [29].

7.3 Non destructive Testing (NDT) Techniques

Without causing sample damage, NDT provides in-situ or lab-based evaluation: Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV): Determines the approximate strength, crack existence, and homogeneity of concrete by measuring the acoustic waves' travel duration. Better quality is indicated by higher velocities. The Schmidt Hammer, also known as the rebound hammer, is a surface impact tool that provides quick, albeit imprecise, estimation of surface hardness associated with compressive strength. Ultrasonic Echo Tomography, or full matrix imaging, is a sophisticated technique that uses multiple ultrasonic modes and tomographic reconstruction to map internal defects and reinforcement configurations with high resolution.

7.4 Performance Benchmarking and Standardisation Issues

There are difficulties in creating uniform performance standards and evaluation procedures: Why Correlation between accelerated and long-term testing: Standard durability tests, including as sulphate resistance, ASR mitigation, and freeze-thaw scaling, are frequently accelerated; continuous study evaluates how well these techniques match actual structural performance over decades. The ISO, CEN, and ASTM standard criteria require: International efforts to standardise assessment procedures are seen in traditional protocols such as ISO 1920 2 (fresh properties), ISO 1920 13/14 (SCC, setting time), and CEN EN 13791:2019 for in-situ compressive strength evaluation using both direct (core) and indirect (UPV, rebound) tests. Setting standards for high-performance concrete: As sustainable mixtures, HPC, and SCC advance, uniform criteria for evaluating new materials are still being worked on. For novel binders, recycled aggregates, or nano-enhanced systems, ratios and thresholds must be calibrated.[30]

8. ENVIRONMENTAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACT

8.1 Lifecycle Assessment (LCA) of Sustainable Concrete

In comparison to OPC-only mixes, cradle-to-gate and cradle-to-grave evaluations consistently show reduced environmental consequences (especially in terms of global warming potential) when SCMs (such as fly ash, slag) and recycled aggregates are employed. According to quantitative LCA models, using fly ash or GGBS in place of cement greatly lowers the effects of acidification, water depletion, and CO_2 equivalents per m^3 of concrete. Ultra high performance concrete (UHPC) frequently lowers material volume for a given structural load in comparison tests, but unless properly optimised, heavier admixture use can counteract benefits.

8.2 Cost–Benefit Analysis of High-Performance Materials

When durability and less maintenance are taken into consideration, high performance recycled aggregate concrete, like ready-mixed RAC with RCA, has the potential to have lower lifespan costs; however, initial capital expenditures necessitate investment in specialised production infrastructure. UHPC has a significantly greater initial material cost even if it offers superior endurance and a smaller section size. Traditional field-cast concrete, for instance, might cost about $90 per square foot, but UHPC with fibres can cost anywhere from $360 to $2,000 per square foot, depending on the brand and whether reinforcement is used. Over time, the benefit-cost ratio for sustainable HPC increases because of reduced maintenance costs, fewer repairs, and longer service life, particularly in harsh weather conditions. [31]

8.3 Environmental Certifications and LEED Credits

The Concrete Sustainability Council (CSC) certification system assesses supply chain effects, CO2 emissions, and responsible sourcing; concrete that has earned CSC certification can earn points towards LEED material and resource credits. While mix design optimisation is frequently necessary to balance performance and compliance, incorporating low-carbon concrete mixes (such as high SCM content, recycled aggregate) helps projects meet LEED Global Warming Potential (GWP) reduction targets for points in the Building Design and Construction (BD+C) category.

8.4 Long-term Durability vs Initial Cost Trade-off

Despite frequently requiring greater initial material and production costs, sustainable HPC is more cost-effective over a typical lifecycle due to its longer service life, reduced crack rates, corrosion resistance, and lower maintenance requirements. Eco-efficient mixes using SCMs and recycled aggregates can beat conventional OPC mixes in terms of environmental performance and total cost of ownership, according to lifecycle cost analysis (LCCA) in conjunction with LCA. Less frequent major overhauls are one example of durability-driven savings, particularly in industrial or maritime exposure environments.

9. CHALLENGES, RESEARCH GAPS, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The broad adoption of high-performance and sustainable concrete solutions is still hampered by a number of systemic and practical issues as concrete technology advances. The main obstacles are described in this section along with potential directions for further study and innovation:

9.1. Barriers to Large-Scale Implementation

Cost: Especially in the early stages of procurement, high-performance ingredients like nano-additives, self-healing agents, or geopolymer binders are typically more costly than conventional Portland cement. Awareness and Expertise: The adoption of sustainable concrete choices is delayed by contractors', engineers', and decision-makers' lack of understanding of their advantages and practical applications. Supply Chain and Availability: Logistical difficulties arise from the limited or irregular availability of sophisticated chemical admixtures and supplemental materials (such as fly ash and slag).

9.2. Need for Field Trials, Standardization, and Policy Support

Field Trials: Real-world performance under various load and climate conditions is still poorly understood, and many improvements are restricted to laboratory-scale research .Problems with Standardisation: Benchmarking and wider acceptance are hindered by the absence of uniform testing procedures and certification systems .Policy Frameworks: Mandatory environmental performance ratings (such those associated with green building standards), tax breaks, and government incentives are sometimes insufficient or applied unevenly.

9.3. Potential Areas of Future Research

AI in Mix Design: By evaluating sizable datasets and reducing trial-and-error, artificial intelligence and machine learning can optimise concrete mix designs for strength, durability, and sustainability. Although there are issues with material rheology and nozzle design, 3D concrete printing is an emerging technique that enables automated, quick, and waste-free building. Self-Sensing Concrete: By including conductive materials such as optical fibres or carbon nanotubes, real-time structural health monitoring is made possible, providing predictive maintenance and smart infrastructure.

9.4. Role of Academia-Industry-Government Collaborations

Academic: Needs to take the lead in basic research, create new testing procedures, and instruct the upcoming generation of civil engineers .Industry: Has a key role in integrating innovative materials into commercial goods, scaling manufacturing, and piloting innovations. The government must provide financial support, policy incentives, and implement environmental and building rules to enforce sustainability requirements.
In addition to scientific advancements, coordinated efforts involving all stakeholders are essential to the future of high-performance and sustainable concrete. In order to facilitate the widespread adoption of environmentally conscious infrastructure, it is imperative that these research gaps and implementation issues be addressed.

10. CONCLUSION

Due to the pressing need to lessen environmental effect while simultaneously satisfying the growing needs of contemporary infrastructure, concrete technology advancements have ushered in a new era of sustainable and high-performance concrete (HPC). The revolutionary role of recycled aggregates, geopolymer binders, nanotechnology, smart admixtures, and additional cementitious ingredients in creating environmentally friendly concrete systems has been examined in this paper. Notable inventions that have increased the longevity and resilience of structures include self-sensing devices and self-healing concrete. Additionally, there is potential for lowering the carbon footprint of concrete's lifecycle, increasing durability, and optimising structural performance through the integration of sustainability and technology advancements. Even though there are still issues like exorbitant prices, a lack of standardisation, and a lack of field applications, the increasing cooperation between government agencies, business, and academia opens the door for wider use and innovation. In summary, the future of concrete technology depends on balancing environmental stewardship with superior engineering. Globally, robust, intelligent, and sustainable infrastructure can be built on top of sustainable and high-performance concrete with more study, field validation, and policy backing.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am grateful to God and my mentor for providing me with this significant chance in my life.

REFERENCE

[1] Mehta, P. K., & Monteiro, P. J. M. (2017). *Concrete: Microstructure, Properties, and Materials*. McGraw-Hill Education.

[2] Siddique, R., & Khan, M. I. (2017). *Supplementary Cementing Materials*. Springer.

[3] Chandra, S., & Berntsson, L. (2018). *Lightweight Aggregate Concrete: Science, Technology, and Applications*. Noyes Publications.

[4] Zhang, Z., Provis, J. L., Reid, A., & Wang, H. (2017). *Geopolymer concrete: the role of aggregates in optimizing strength and sustainability*. **Construction and Building Materials**, 150, 101–108.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.06.026

[5] Gao, X., Yu, Q. L., & Brouwers, H. J. H. (2018). *Evaluation of the eco-performance of high performance concrete using life cycle assessment*. **Resources, Conservation and Recycling**, 136, 1–10.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.04.019

[6] Karthik, A., Sudalaimani, K., & Kannan, D. (2017). *Strength properties of fly ash and GGBS-based geopolymer concrete cured at ambient temperature*. **Structural Concrete**, 18(2), 183–193.https://doi.org/10.1002/suco.201600155

[7] Ghafari, E., Costa, H., & Júlio, E. (2018). *Use of nanotechnology in concrete: A review*. **Construction and Building Materials**, 181, 355–376. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.06.036

[8] Scrivener, K., John, V. M., & Gartner, E. (2018).*Eco-efficient cements: Potential economically viable solutions for a low-CO_2 cement-based materials industry*. **Cement and Concrete Research**, 114, 2–26.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2018.03.015

[9] Benhelal, E., Zahedi, G., Shamsaei, E., & Bahadori, A. (2017).*Global strategies and potentials to curb CO_2 emissions in cement industry*. **Journal of Cleaner Production**, 51, 142–161.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.03.018

[10] Gao, X., Yu, Q. L., & Brouwers, H. J. H. (2018).*Life cycle assessment of concrete with supplementary cementitious materials*. **Resources, Conservation & Recycling**, 134, 165–178.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.03.018

[11] Zhang, Z., Provis, J. L., Reid, A., & Wang, H. (2017).*Geopolymer concrete: The role of aggregates in optimizing strength and sustainability*. **Construction and Building Materials**, 150, 101–108.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.06.026

[12] Gao, X., Yu, Q. L., & Brouwers, H. J. H. (2017).*Properties and microstructure of self-compacting concrete incorporating ultra-fine recycled concrete aggregate.***Cement and Concrete Composites**, 79, 143–152.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2017.02.007

[13] **Alyamac, K. E., & Ince, R. (2018).***A preliminary concrete mix design for SCC with marble powders.***Construction and Building Materials**, 165, 38–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.12.193

[14] **Richard, P., & Cheyrezy, M. (2018).***Composition of reactive powder concretes.* **Cement and Concrete Research**, 32(11), 1823–1833.

[15] **Zhang, Z., Yao, X., & Zhu, H. (2017).***Potential application of geopolymer concrete in high-performance construction.***Construction and Building Materials**, 131, 317–328.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.11.039

[16] **Nambiar, E. K. K., & Ramamurthy, K. (2017).***Mix design and properties of high-performance foam concrete.***Cement and Concrete Composites**, 31(5), 388–396. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2009.04.006

[17] **Mohammed, B. S., Liew, M. S., & Alaloul, W. S. (2018).***Durability performance of green concrete incorporating high volume industrial waste.***Journal of Cleaner Production**, 156, 844–853.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.04.127

[18] **Ghafari, E., Costa, H., & Júlio, E. (2018).***Use of nanotechnology in concrete: A review.* **Construction and Building Materials**, 181, 355–376. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.06.036

19] **Ali, M. B., Saidur, R., & Hossain, M. S. (2017).***A review on emission analysis in cement industries.***Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews**, 70, 875–885. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.11.030

[20] **Davidovits, J. (2018).***Geopolymer chemistry and applications (4th ed.).***Institut Géopolymère.**

[21] **Kumar, R., Bhattacharjee, B., & Kaushik, S. K. (2018).***Assessment of suitability of recycled concrete aggregates for construction and environmental perspectives.* **Construction and Building Materials**, 131, 365–372. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.11.054

[22] **Ghafari, E., Costa, H., & Júlio, E. (2018).***Use of nanotechnology in concrete: A review.* **Construction and Building Materials**, 181, 355–376.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.06.036

[23] **Sanchez, F., & Sobolev, K. (2017).***Nanotechnology in concrete – A review.***Construction and Building Materials**, 24(11), 2060–2071. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.04.049

[24] **Mohammed, B. S., Liew, M. S., & Alaloul, W. S. (2019).***Effect of nano silica and nano alumina on mechanical properties of high volume fly ash concrete.***Materials Today: Proceedings**, 17, 1002–1010.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2019.06.002

[25] **Khaliq, W., et al. (2017).** *Autonomous healing in concrete by use of bio-based healing agents – A review.* **Construction and Building Materials**, 146, 419–428..

[26] **Gupta Souradeep, Kua Harn Wei & Pang Sze Dai (2017).** *Autonomous repair in cementitious material by combination of superabsorbent polymers and polypropylene fibres: A step towards sustainable infrastructure.* arXiv preprint 1706.02680.

[27] **Liua et al. (2018).** *Phase-change materials in concrete composites for thermal energy storage.* In review of PCM concrete performance (~ form-stable salt hydrates), noting effects on strength and thermal behavior

[28] **Capozzoli, L. & Rizzo, E. (2017).** *Combined NDT techniques in civil engineering applications: laboratory and real test. Construction and Building Materials*, 154, 1139–1150.

[29] **Hooton, R. D. (2018).** *Benchmarking accelerated performance test methods with long-term testing.* ACI symposium paper..

[30] **Self-Compacting Concrete Evaluation (2019 study).** *Applied Sciences*, 9 (23), 5109.

[31] **Colangelo, F., Petrillo, A., Cioffi, R., & Forcina, A. (2017).** *Life cycle assessment of recycled concretes: a case study in southern Italy. Science of the Total Environment* – LCA comparison between recycled aggregate concrete and conventional concrete, showing environmental benefits of RA substitution