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Abstract: In Wireless sensor network first of all we combine check, track and trace so we integrate different functions capabilities. Check means ability to sense what is going on the world. Track means locate the objects where they are for example in hospital or in warehouse. Trace this is the ability for a lock sensors which we can call smart points to the history of the sensor measurements when they are outside of the network. This thesis describes the location based routing protocol in wireless sensor network. In thesis we design modified DV-hope method for distance correcting among normal and anchor nodes. Localization error for individual node is improved as compared to the conventional DV-hop and overall accuracy is also improved. Localization algorithm has been play an important role in localization system. In this phase using the different localization algorithm position of the all of the nodes in sensor networks is determine with the help distance of angle information from distance or angle estimation component and node location from the position computation component. Many localization approaches in wireless sensor networks introduced by researchers scientists. Based on that localization methods are mainly classified in two parts: (I) range-free localization, and (II) range-based localization. In range-based localization position of the all nodes are obtained using the ranging information like RSS, AOA and TOA. Accuracy is good for range-based method but cost is high due to the requirement of the additional hardware like antenna. Another drawback of the range-based localization is ranging information is affected by environmental factors like multipath fading and noise. In range-free localization techniques location of the nodes are obtained with help of the connectivity among the nodes in WSN. Therefore cost is less for range-based localization method because there is no requirement of the additional hardware’s. Range-free localization methods are robust it means that connectivity information in these methods is not affected by environment factors. Therefore we focus on range-free localization.

In proposed method, localization error for individual node is improved as compared to the conventional DV-hop and overall accuracy is also improved.

I. INTRODUCTION

The United States military introduced the concept of WSN in Beginning of the 1970’s. After that lots of theories and projects have been introduced and implemented for WSN. The preliminary model of WSN with existing point-to-point transmission called as first generation of WSN. In 1979, DARPA of the United States of America introduced DSN program. It is the innovative in the broad spectrum of the wireless networks that specifically designed to measure small amount of data and often that is made through sensor data i.e. temperature sensors or open close sensors that the text if door is open or close. These little pieces of information are truly valuable to companies that provide the inside difference that might happen in business processes. We got the systems based on the devices which are autonomous because they have got processor, memory, sensors and of course wireless communication together they performed set of operation. In WSN first of all we combine check, track and trace so we integrate different functions capabilities. Check means ability to sense what is going on the world. Track means locate the objects where they are for example in hospital or in warehouse. Trace this is the ability for a lock sensors which we can call smart points to the history of the sensor measurements when they are outside of the network. In today’s world wireless sensor networks becomes an important research area due to the advancements in the wireless communications and micro electro-mechanical technology. A wireless sensor network is group of sensor nodes which are sensing the physical phenomena such as temperature, pressure, humidity and vibration etc. Each sensor node sends sensed data to base station or central controller either continuously or at regular interval depend on the applications. To monitor interested region node deployment can be done in two ways depends on the physical accessibility of monitoring region If region is physically accessible than simply regular deployment is possible otherwise node need to be deploy randomly through low flying air plane in the monitoring region.

In WSN Base station (BS) sent the queries to all nodes regarding to monitor specific physical parameter in the network and all other node gives the reply of these queries.

Depend on application BS can send three kinds of queries are:-

[1] One time queries: In these nodes needs to reply current data to BS.
[2] Persistent queries: In these nodes needs to reply periodically to BS.
[3] Historical queries: In these nodes needs to reply historical data to BS.

The nodes are replying to the BS in multi-hop fashion due to limited energy at nodes. The main goal of wireless sensor network is to collect the data from the physical environment through sensing and send it to central controller, permitting the environment monitoring very easy.

In WSN nodes need not to be communicating with the central controller or base station directly as this is happened in cellular networks. In WSN nodes communicates to their local peers.
II. PROBLEM DEFINITION

There are lots of methods has been introduced in the literature for localization. For some of them extra hardware is required which are depends on the range based information. Consequently these methods are not suitable due to additional cost. Later other methods which are based on connection not on range information. Thus these methods are suitable for WSN due to their no extra hardware and robustness. The most of methods (centroid, APIT and CPE) which are based on connectivity needed at least three reference (or anchor) nodes in the neighbourhood for obtaining the location of ordinary nodes. But in case of the DV-hop this condition is not required satisfy by each ordinary node. Thus by using the DV-hop ordinary node can obtain its coordinates even when it is having less than three anchors in neighborhood. In case of the random deployment various ordinary nodes does not have three neighbor reference nodes.

III. PROPOSED METHOD FOR LOCALIZATION

There are lots of the methods has been introduced in the literature for localization. For some of them extra hardware is required which are depends on the range based information. Consequently these methods are not suitable due to additional cost. Later other methods which are based on connection not on range information. Thus these methods are suitable for WSN due to their no extra hardware and robustness. The most of methods (centroid, APIT and CPE) which are based on connectivity needed at least three reference (or anchor) nodes in the neighborhood for obtaining the location of ordinary nodes. But in case of the DV-hop this condition is not required satisfy by each ordinary node. Thus by using the DV-hop ordinary node can obtain its coordinates even when it is having less than three anchors in neighborhood. In case of the random deployment various ordinary nodes does not have three neighbor reference nodes. For example in figure 3.1: node N1, node N3 and node N4 have only one neighbour reference node and node N2 does not any reference node in the neighborhood.
**IV. EXPLANATION**

The explanation of the above proposed scheme stages is given below:

Stage 1: In this stage every anchor or reference node transmits a message to all the nodes in the network. The transmitting message contains the node id, hop count value and position of the reference node. After receiving the messages every node keeps the lowest value of the hop count from the every reference node and if node receives greater value of hop count from same reference node then it will reject that value. Whenever node send receive message to neighbours then it will increased the hop count value by one. This is the step one of the conventional DV-Hop. After the step 1 of conventional DV-Hop every reference node knows the position and hop count of the other reference nodes. The average value of the hop size for each reference node is obtained by using below equation 4.

\[ \text{hopsize}_m = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\text{m}} \sqrt{(X_m - X_n)^2 + (Y_m - Y_n)^2}}{\sum_{n=1}^{\text{m}} \text{hops}_mn} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Where \((X_m, Y_m)\) and \((X_n, Y_n)\) are the co-ordinates of the reference node \(m\) and \(n\).

Hopsmns the least value of the hop count among reference node \(m\) and \(n\).

Therefore, this stage is combination of the step 1 and step 2 of the conventional DV-Hop.

Stage 2: In this, hop size obtained in stage 1 is modified using the difference of actual and estimated distance among the reference node \(m\) and \(n\), by using the below formula.

\[ \text{Hops}_m = \text{Hops}_m + \sum_{n=1}^{\text{m}} \left( \text{actualdistance} - \text{estimateddistance}_mn \right) \] \hspace{1cm} (5)

Where \(\text{Estimated distance} = \text{Hops}_m * \text{Hops}_mn\)

\[ \text{Actual distance} = \sqrt{(X_m - X_n)^2 + (Y_m - Y_n)^2} \]

Stage 3: In this, effective distance for each ordinary node from the reference nodes is calculated based on hop count.

If \(\text{hop count}_m=1\) then \(d_{mn} = \text{shortest distance among reference node } m \text{ and ordinary node } n\).

Else \(d_{mn} = \text{Hopsizem} * \text{hop count}_m\).

Where \(\text{hop count}_m\) is value of hop count among ordinary node \(n\) and reference node \(m\).

Stage 4: In this, with help of the least square method coordinates of the ordinary nodes is obtained similar to the conventional dv-hop.

**Performance:** Performance of the proposed approach is evaluated in terms of the localization error per node and percentage localization error. The proposed approach provides better results compares to the conventional DV-Hop. Localization error and percentage localization error is evaluated using below formula:

\[ \text{Localization error} = \sqrt{(X_m - X_m)^2 + (Y_m - Y_m)^2} \]

\[ \text{Percentage localization error} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\text{n}} \sqrt{(X_m - X_m)^2 + (Y_m - Y_m)^2}}{n * R} * 100 \]

Where \((X_m, Y_m)\) and \((x_m, y_m)\) are the actual and estimated co-ordinates of the ordinary node, \(n\) is the number of ordinary node and \(R\) is the communication range of the node.

3.2 Proposed Weighted Clustered Response Approach

Topology of the WSN can be obtained at the central node or monitoring node by originating the topology discovery query to the all present node in the network. Nodes that receive the topology discovery query send the response message to the monitoring node. The response message can be send to the monitoring node in the form of direct, aggregate and clustering.

---

*Fig 4. Structure of Clustered Response*

In figure 3.3, monitor node A send the topology discovery query to the node B which is a cluster head and node B forward topology discovery query to all the nodes in the cluster. Finally collect the response from every node in the cluster and send it to the central or monitoring node A. In this way all nodes doesn’t required to send response individually or direct to the monitoring node. Among three ways of sending the response message clustering is preferred because of the overall message overhead is reduced. But in case of clustering the overhead depends on the number of clusters. Therefore as compare to the existing approaches efficient clustering is required to solve the problems. There are various limitations on the cluster based network and challenges such as durability of the clusters, efficient amount of clusters and less overhead for communication etc. In the proposed method, efficient clustering is proposed in which various parameters is used for the cluster head election so that above problems can be solved. These parameters are power of node, node mobility, total neighbor of node, rate at which node can send data, positions of neighboring nodes and distance among base station and node.

The proposed approach mainly divided in two steps:

1. **Information exchange:** In this step all nodes communicate with each other to calculate the weight at each node and after calculation of weight each node share their weights with the neighbor nodes.

2. **Cluster discovery:** This is an important step in which firstly nodes that have highest weight as compare to their neighbor nodes, announce itself as the cluster head and send cluster-finder query to their neighbors. The cluster head considered as black node and rest of the node in the beginning are white color. Those nodes receive the message from cluster head changed their color to the gray and send the message which received from cluster head nodes to their neighbor after waiting for some amount of time delay which is depend on the inverse of weights. Nodes which are receiving message from gray node become the dark gray. Nodes which having the dark gray color check their weight in
comparison of their neighbors and for those node weights are high acts as the cluster head and color become black. These nodes send message to their about the becoming of cluster head and after this announcement node which having color white and dark gray change it to the gray. In similar way node that color is gray send the cluster-finder query in the network until become black or gray means that each node in the network need to be at least member of one cluster.

![Block Diagram of Proposed Approach](image)

**V. SIMULATION TOOLS MATLAB**

Names come from the matrix laboratory. Matlab initiates at the University of the Mexico written by the Cleve Moler. The Matlab in fortran language. Further matlab developed in the math works Inc. In this development the main or core part is written in c and graphical user interface written in java programming language. We can run matlab on various platforms such as Windows, Linux and Mac os.

Matlab is very powerful tool for doing the numerical methods of all kinds. Matlab began as tool for doing the linear algebra. Since array is required lots of functionality even though still has the kind of linear algebra field too it can do just about any problem we need to address. It runs on the platforms which are nice and some built in tool box are present in the matlab. If want to add more functionality in matlab then we have to purchase the tool box which are not freely available. These tool boxes are useful for solving problem of the optimization, control methods and image processing etc.

**Matlab User Interface:**

It is not as the graphical user interface (GUI) oriented as it might be custom too. It is quite powerful and so encourages us to stick with it for while we can have command line orientation. In matlab we can simply open the command line window and type the command the command, we get the output so therefore it is more interacted in this case. But problem will arise when we want to store results. Any how we can save the output but input can’t be store. The ways to interact with matlab is m-files or scripts. It has .m file extension. In matlab in-built editor also there so we can easily type the programs and get output by running the .m scripts.

**Strengths of the Matlab**

- Matlab is very easy user can learn it easily.
- In matlab with the help of the matrix operations optimization of the code easily performed.
- Any computation can be performed as like the calculator and error can easily find and fixed.
- Initially matlab is a procedural but later functionality of the object oriented are added.

**NS2**

NS2 is event driven, object oriented simulator in which whole process is interpreted as the group of events and which are executed in predefined order. By using this simulator we can forecast the performance of the proposed system and we can also see that how proposed system performing in the comparison of the previously developed and implemented schemes. NS2 was originated at UCB Berkeley and maintenance is done at the USC. The mainly this project is developed to use by researchers and educators for their research work. It is freely available on internet means open source tool.

Program in NS2 contain four kinds of scripts:

- TCL Script which is common and simple script language (.tcl)
- Trace file (.tr)
- Network Animator(NAM) (.nam)
- Awk Script (.awk)

Usage of the scripts .tcl and .awk files are created by the programmer. .tr and .nam both are bring out automatically when .tcl and .awk files are executed.

- .tcl
  - It has the code for Node creation, Node configuration, Communication establishment between nodes creating of .tr and .nam files Executing .nam and .awk files.
  - .nam
    - Events in the .tcl script are traced and entered into .nam file.
    - This generated code will produce the animation in Network Animator Window (NAM).
  - .tr
    - Events in the .tcl script are traced and entered into .tr file.
    - It contains many lines, each line comprises number of fields, each separated by the space. Each line specifies an event.
    - Frequently used fields are explained below:
      - .awk
        - AWK script is used to extract the required information from the code available in .tr file as the .tr file has the many fields.
        - Output of awk script will give the performance measures and also the x and y parameters to be plotted in a graph.
In above figure, the anchor nodes and unknown nodes are deployed in 100*100 areas. By changing the different parameter such as anchor nodes and communication range of the nodes, simulation is done for percentage localization error.

In above diagram, when number of the nodes is 200 and anchor nodes are 20, error percentage (y-axis) with varies communication range (x-axis) for CBLTDS verses existing conventional DV-Hop.

Table 1: Simulation Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SIMULATOR</th>
<th>Network Simulator 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NUMBER OF NODES</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOPOLOGY</td>
<td>Random</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERFACE TYPE</td>
<td>Phy/WirelessPhy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC TYPE</td>
<td>802.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUEUE TYPE</td>
<td>Queue/DropTail/PrQueue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUEUE LENGTH</td>
<td>50 Packets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTENNA TYPE</td>
<td>Omni Antenna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPAGATION TYPE</td>
<td>Twcrazy Ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROUTING PROTOCOL</td>
<td>AODV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSPORT AGENT</td>
<td>UDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPLICATION AGENT</td>
<td>CBR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMULATION TIME</td>
<td>50 seconds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.7 Comparison graph for localization error for each unknown node
In the above figure, for each node the localization error is compared between CBLTDS and existing approach. Here, x-axis shows the number of unknown nodes and y-axis shows the location error of the each node. Error is calculated for 80 unknown nodes in the presence of the 20 anchor nodes and communication range is 50m.

Fig. 8 Error percentage versus varies number of anchor nodes
In the above diagram, it shows that error percentage with varies number of the anchor node for CBLTDS verses existing conventional DV-Hop, when number of the nodes is 200 and communication range is 100m.

Fig. 9 Error percentage versus varies communication range
In above diagram, the average cluster head (y-axis) with varies communication range (x-axis) for the CBLTDS verses existing method TopDisc.

In below diagram, it shows that the ratio of cluster head(x-axis) with varies number of nodes(y-axis) for CBLTDS verses existing method TopDisc and ratio of cluster head is calculated by using the below formula:

\[
\text{Ratio of Cluster heads} = \frac{\text{Number of cluster Head}}{\text{Total Number of Nodes} \times 100}
\]

Fig.11 Ratio of the cluster head verses number of nodes
In this thesis, modified DV-Hop method proposed for the localization and weight based clustered response approach for the topology discovery in wireless sensor networks. Simulation results shows that proposed approach for the localization provide the less error for determining the each unknown node co-ordinates. Hence accuracy of the localization method is improved in terms of the average localization error when varies the anchor nodes and transmission range as compared to conventional DV-Hop. Proposed method for topology discovery in WSN provide better results in terms of the average cluster heads, cluster head ratio and network lifetime compared to existing approach TopDisk. So that lifetime of the network is increased of CBLTDS.

VII. CONCLUSION & FUTURE SCOPE OF WORK

Conclusion
In this thesis, modified DV-Hop method proposed for the localization and weight based clustered response approach for the topology discovery in wireless sensor networks. Simulation results shows that proposed approach for the localization provide the less error for determining the each unknown node co-ordinates. Hence accuracy of the localization method is improved in terms of the average localization error when varies the anchor nodes and transmission range as compared to conventional DV-Hop. Proposed method for topology discovery in WSN provide better results in terms of the average cluster heads, cluster head ratio and network lifetime compared to existing approach means stability of the topology discovery method is also increased. Thus triggering of the clustering is reduced for the topology discovery.

Future Scope
In this thesis, proposed Modified DV-Hop approach based on the distance correction and distance affects by the noisy environment which can be taken in to account. In future effective distance can calculate by considering the noisy environment to improve the accuracy of the localization. In future the work for topology discovery extends to find absolute topology of the WSN efficiently in real time scenario.
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