IMPROVEMENT AND ENHANCEMENT OF DESIGN TOOLS FOR THE DESIGN OF STEEL BEAMS AS PER INDIAN AND INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS

Manoranjan Kumar Ray¹, Prof. Dharmendra Singh² ¹Scholar M.Tech (Structure) Department of Civil Engineering, RNTU, Bhopal (M.P). ²Guide &HOD , Department of Civil Engineering, RNTU, Bhopal (M.P).

ABSTRACT: Steel design codes are in the process of evolution over the years. The design approach has been changing over the years. The Indian codes now follow limit state design approach in line with other inter-national codes. The latest version of the Code of Practice for general construction in steel, IS 800-2007 is based on Limit State Method of design and it supersedes the previous dated version of this code IS 800-1984 which is based on elastic method, [6]. The design based on limit state method involves many equations and parameters. Therefore the design of steel structural members and connections involves tedious equations. In this study, design tools using microsoft excel spreadsheets and charts have been prepared for the design of flexure members which will save the time of engineer"s considerably. Similar tools have also been developed for British Standards BS 5950-2000 and American Standards AISC 360-2010.

I. INTRODUCTION

Iron, in its various forms, has been in usage since several thousand years BC. The two great ancient Indian epics, Ramayana and Mahabharatha, had shown the importance of iron long back and being mostly used as weapons. The Hittites Empire was the first user of iron dated 3 to 4 millenniums ago. There are many evidences of iron usage in our country from the time of Indus Valley civilization. The most important ones are the "Iron pillar, Delhi" and "Howrah Bridge, Kolkata". Recent construction is of the Second Hooghly cable stayed bridge in Kolkata which runs a total length of 823 meters and uses 13200 tonnes of steel, [12].

II. SIGNIFICANCE & OBJECTIVE

Steel design codes are in the process of evolution over the years. The design approach has been changing over the years. The Indian codes now follow limit state design approach in line with other inter-national codes. Different tools are used in the steel and offshore steel industry for the design of steel elements. The need of such design tools is required in situations of primary, secondary and tertiary steel elements in the steel industry. The three kinds of steel elements are the elements that support major, moderate and minor equipment or loading and the final objective of the work is To develop design tools for designing steel beams for prominent code groups:

- Indian Standards
- British Standards

American codes

Work methodology

The first section of this chapter incorporates the point by point approach received for the outline of steel beams as per different guidelines from the previous chapter and utilizing it for making of design tools using spreadsheets. The results have also been verified from staad checks and comparison is made. The second part involves the use of charts for the design of flexure members and demonstrated with an example.

SPREADSHEET FOR DESIGN OF BEAMS AS PER AISC 360:2010

The design sheet made encourages the work of selecting a fitting section for steel beam. To use this spreadsheet, the steps are:

<u>Step1</u>: If the user has to go for American Standards for beam design, choose the "AMERICAN

CODE" option. A macro named "american" come into operation. In the drop down box a set of American wide flange W sections will be displayed. Select any section.



Figure 22. Selection of American Code and American Steel Selection from the Main Sheet



Figure23. Visual Basic code for American macro Step2: Click on the command "Design As Per British Code". Now the macro "American_design"

runs and user is taken to a new sheet "Design AC". In this sheet the section is matched from sheet





 Carbon
 Carbon<

Figure 25. Properties of American Steel Sections

Step3: The user is required to fill the parameters along with the grade of steel.

| A | _ | - | 10 | bhex.htm - Micro | soft Excel | - | - | | | - | _ | 1.215 | 3 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|---|
| Hose Josef Pag | e Layout Formulas | Data Review View | Developer Ne | on POF Professiona | T | | | | | | | 00 | |
| ≩ Cut Calleri Bà Copy + I Fornat Painter Sptoard 11 | • 11 • X 12 • 12 • 2 3 • <u>A</u> fut | x = = = *·· • ■ ■ ■ *· • Aignee | 🔛 Wrap Text 🔐 Merge & Center + st | General S - % + Number | 14 .53 Consti Formati | onal Format ing- as Table Styles | Cel - Styles - | hiet Orfele Cath | Format | Σ AutoSue · FII · C Dest · Et | Set & Fed I Filter - Seled | | |
| C5 + (* | fa | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | C | D | E F | 6 | H I | 1 | ĸ | L | M | N | 0 | - P | |
| Please Fr | ter The Required | Parameters | | | Secti | on proper | ties | - 22 | - 22 | | Main | Sheet | |
| Please Er | ter The Required | Parameters. | | | Secti | on proper | ties | | | | Main | Sheet | |
| A SAWES | americon arresolut | | | Designation | WEX | 67 . | h | 272 | in. | 4011 | | | |
| Span Length | | metre | | Mass per lengt | W 67 | 90/篇 | 14 | 88.6 | in. | | | | |
| load Factors | Dead | | | Depth of section | nd 9 | in. | 15 | 3.72 | in. | | | | |
| 1/1800 CONTRACTOR | Imposed | 2 | | Width of section | ibi 8.2 | a in | 1 | 2.12 | in | Sec. 2 | | | |
| London 1994 | Dead (kN/m) | | 1 | thickness of wei | 0.5 O.5 | 7 m. | Zı | 70.1 | in, | | | | |
| control over | imposed (kN/m | 0 | 1 | hickness of flan | ge ti 0.93 | s in | 23 | 32.7 | in. | · | | | |
| | | | | Area of section | A 19. | 7 in." | 5. | 60.4 | in. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grade of STEEL | A36 | • | | Forsional consta | nt) 5.0 | in." | 54 | 21.4 | in. | • | | | |
| Grade of STEEL Fy (ksi) | A36 36 | • | | Forsional consta Warping constan | ntJ 5.0 | 6 in.* 0 in.* | Ss Tu | 21.4 2.43 | in. | b | | | |
| Grade of STEEL Fy (ksi) Fu (ksi) | A36 36 58 | | | Forsional consta Warping constan bv/2t/ | 10 5.0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | 5 in.* 0 in.* | Ss re ho | 21.4 2.43 8.07 | in. in. | | | | |
| Grade of STEEL Fy (ksi) F4 (ksi) E (ksi) | A36 36 58 23000 | | | Forsional consta Narping constan bi/2ti h/tv | nt J 5.0 t Cv 144 4.4 | 0 in. ⁸ 0 in. ⁸ 3 - | Ss re ho | 21.4 2.43 8.07 | in. in. | | | | |

Figure 26. Input parameters to be filled by the user

Step4: After finishing the above work, a detailed result is displayed indicating the status of the section. If the section is unsafe revise the section.





AISC Eq. F2 AISC Eq. F2

| Please Enter The Required Parameters | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|-------|--|--|--|
| SpanLength | 5 | metre | | | |
| load Eastors | Dead | 1.2 | | | |
| IDauractors | Imposed | 1.6 | | | |
| LoadingLIDI | Dead (kN/m) | 25 | | | |
| LoadingODL | Imposed (kN/m) | 18.75 | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Section properties | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|------------------|-----|------|------------------|
| Designation | W8X67 | - | lx | 272 | in.4 |
| Mass per length W | 67 | lb/ft | ly | 88.6 | in. ⁴ |
| Depth of section d | 9 | in. | Гх | 3.72 | in. |
| Width of section br | 8.28 | in. | ry | 2.12 | in. |
| Thickness of web tw | 0.57 | in. | Zx | 70.1 | in. ³ |
| Thickness of flange tr | 0.935 | in. | Zy | 32.7 | in. ³ |
| Area of section A | 19.7 | in. ² | Sx | 60.4 | in. ³ |
| Torsional constant J | 5.05 | in.4 | Sy | 21.4 | in. ³ |
| Warping constant Cw | 1440 | in. ⁶ | lts | 2.43 | in. |
| br/2tr | 4.43 | - | h₀ | 8.07 | in. |
| h/tw | 11 1 | _ | | | |

| summary of results | | | | | | |
|--------------------|------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Shear | 0.34 | PASS | | | | |
| Moment | 0.73 | PASS | | | | |
| LLdefl. | 0.78 | PASS | | | | |
| DL+LLdefl. | 1.04 | FAIL | | | | |

| Detailed Results: MOMENT CA | PACITY "kip-in" | "kN-m" |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------|
| Actual Moment Mu | 1659.60 | 187.53 |
| Allowable Moment MN | 2271.24 | 256.65 |
| (a) yielding | 2271.24 | |
| (b) LTB | 2378.67 | |
| (c) Flange Local Buckling | - | |
| (d) Web Local Buckling | - | |
| Usage Factor | 0.73 | SAFE |

| Detailed Results: DEFLECTION | |
|------------------------------|-----------|
| Actual Deflection LL(mm) | 10.79 |
| Allowable Deflection LL(mm) | 13.89 |
| Usage Factor | 0.78 SAFE |

| Detailed Results: DEFLECTION | |
|------------------------------|--------------|
| Actual Defl DL+LL (mm) | 21.57 |
| Allowable Defl DL+LL(mm) | 20.83 |
| Usage Factor | 1.04 NOTSAFE |

| parameters: | | | | |
|-------------|----------|--|--|--|
| Lp(in.) | 105.90 | | | |
| Lr (in.) | 8.14E+02 | | | |
| For | 43.69 | | | |
| Mcr | 2638.86 | | | |

Figure27. Excelsheet showing the adequacy of the beam

VERIFICATION OF DESIGN TOOL WITH STAAD OUTPUT



Figure28. Staad result for beam design using American Code

DESIGN CHART PREPARATION FOR FLEXURE MEMBERS

The design charts have been prepared using the Indian Standard Code of Practice for laterally supported and laterally unsupported beams. The procedure embraced is represented diagrammatically and then showed with the design examples given underneath.

Design Procedure for laterally supported beam



Design example

Beam Details

Beam span length = 1m Simply supported beam Section used = ISLC 150

The section is assumed to be plastic. <u>Solution</u>: <u>Properties of ISLC150</u>:

D=150mm

tw=4.8mm

Ze=93 X 10³mm³ Zp=106.17 X 10³mm³ Step1: Design capacity of section

 $d/t_W\!\!=\!\!24.67 < 67\epsilon$

 $V = (4* M_d)/L = 96.52 \text{ kN}$

Since V> 0.6 Vd the section fails in shear . And also V> Vd

Hence to calculate the maximum load the section can take for the given length, V is taken equal to V_d . Step3: Reduced Design capacity of section

$$\beta = 1.0$$

 $Mfd = (Zp - Av^*y)^*fy / Ymo$

= 18 kNm Mdv = 18 kNm

<u>Step4: Calculation of factored uniformly distributed load W</u> $W = (8* M_{dv})/L^{2}$

W = 144 kN/m.

The entire design chart has been prepared in light of the above example demonstrated







Figure 30. factored load vs effective span length graph for ISLC sections



Figure 31. factored load vs effective span length graph for ISMC sections



Figure 32. factored load vs effective span length graph for ISMC sections



Design example Beam Details

Beam span length = 1m

Section used = ISLC 150

Ends are fully restrained against torsion and warping is not restrained in both flanges. The section is assumed to be plastic.

Solution:

Properties of ISLC150:

D=150mm

 $tf = 7.8mm t_W = 4.8mm$

 $r_y = 23.7 \text{ mm } Z_p = 106.17 \text{ X } 10^3 \text{mm}^3$ Step1: calculation of bending compressive stress

fcr,b =
$$1.1* \pi^2 * E * [1 + 1* (LLT / ry)^2]^{0.5} (LLT / ry)^2$$

 $20*(hf / tf)^2$
fcr,b = 1373.25 N/mm²
Step2: Calculation of parameters

$$\lambda LT = \sqrt{(fy / fcr, b)} = 0.43$$

 $\emptyset LT = 0.5[1 + \alpha LT(\lambda LT - 0.2) + \lambda LT^{2}] = 0.62 XLT = 1 / [\emptyset LT + (\emptyset LT^{2} - \lambda LT^{2})^{0.5}] = 0.94$ Step3: Calculation of design bending compressive stress

 $\label{eq:fbd} \begin{array}{l} f_{bd} = X_{LT} \ f_y \ /Y_{mo} \\ f_{bd} = 213.64 \ \text{N/mm}^2 \\ \text{Step4: Calculation of design bending strength} \end{array}$

 $M_d = \beta \, b \; Z_p f_{bd} = 22.68 \; k Nm$

Step5: Calculation of factored uniformly distributed load W W = (8* $M_{dv})/L^2$

W = 181.44 kN/m

The entire design chart has been prepared in light of the above example demonstrated.



Figure 34. factored load vs effective span length graph for ISLC sections

ISSN (Online): 2347 - 4718





Figure36. factored load vs effective span length graph for ISMC sections



Figure37. factored load vs effective span length graph for



SUMMARY

From the design methods delineated in the second chapter, the design tools have been developed. The outputs obtained as per various tools are verified also with the help of other software packages or hand calculation using the standards.

III. CONCLUSION

The objective of this study demands the development of design tools for three prominent standard code groups, Indian Standard, British Standard, and American Standard. Applying the guidelines discussed in second chapter design aids using spreadsheets and charts are developed. Soundly working of these tools are demonstrated by carrying out an example which is being verified with the help of staad output. Charts have been prepared for the purpose of selecting steel sections straightforwardly. The design tools are proven to be much significant and valuable.

SCOPE OF FUTURE WORK

Spreadsheet development packages offer numerous computational, diagraming, and charting devices that could be used to handle numerous sorts of design aids with sensible exertion. Using them to their fullest improves the nature of design experience. These graphs have been demonstrated profitable. Consequently effort should be made to produce design aids utilizing spreadsheet requisition as a part of all fields of civil engineering.

REFERENCES

- BS 5950-1 (2000). "Structural use of steelwork in building, Part 1: Code of practice for design- Rolled and welded sections." British Standards Institution, London.
- [2] Elhouar, S., (2005). "Electronically Enhanced Traditional Structural Steel Beam Design Using Electronic Design Charts." ASCE, 10(4), 222-228.
- [3] IS 800 (2007). "General Construction in Steel

 Code of Practice." Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi.
- [4] Arya, C. (2009). "Design of Structural Elements." New York: Taylor & Francis.
- [5] AISC 360 (2010). "Specification for Structural Steel Buildings." American Institute of Steel Construction, Chicago.
- [6] Kulkarni, R.B., and Patil, V.A. (2011). "Design Aids of Flexural Members and Beam- Columns Based on Limit State Method." IJSER, 2(10), 441-456.
- [7] Subramanian, N. (2012). "Design of Steel Structures." New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
- [8] Whatte, A.G., and Jamkar, S.S. (2013).
 "Comparative Study of Design of Steel Structural Elements by using IS 800:2007, AISC 13th Edition and BS: 5950, 1:2000." IJISME, 1(9), 8- 12.
- [9] Satish Kumar, S.R., and Shantha Kumar, A.R., "Behaviour of Steel Beam." <www.nptel.ac.in/courses/IIT-MADRAS/Design_Steel_Structures_I/index.php>
- [10] "Unrestrained Beam Design-I." < www.steelinsdag.org/TeachingMaterial/Chapter11.pdf >
- [11] "Laterally Restrained Beams." < www.steelinsdag.org/TeachingMaterial/Chapter9.pdf >

[12] "Historical Development and Characteristics of Structural Steels." < www.steelinsdag.org/TeachingMaterial/Chapter1.pdf>