

OPTIMIZATION OF CLUSTERING TECHNIQUES IN WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORKS

Mr. Amar. V. Shah¹ (M.E Research scholar), Prof. M. B .Chaudhari²

¹GEC Sector-28, Gandhinagar Gujarat, India.

²C.S.E. Department, Government Engineering College, Gandhinagar
Gujarat Technology University, Gujarat, India.

Abstract: *Wireless sensor networks (WSNs) technology has provided the availability of small (tiny) and low-cost sensor nodes with capability of sensing various types of physical and environmental conditions, data processing, and wireless communication. However, the characteristic of wireless sensor networks requires more effective methods for data forwarding and processing. In wireless sensor network, the sensor nodes have a limited transmission range, with processing and storage capabilities as well as their energy resources are also limited. Routing protocols for wireless sensor networks are responsible for maintaining the routes in the network, to ensure reliable communication, save energy resources and increase network lifetime. Optimizing LEACH-C protocol to getting better network lifetime and Energy consumption.*

Index Terms: WSN, Routing Protocol, Leach, Wireless Network

I. INTRODUCTION

Wireless Sensor networks consist of hundreds of thousands of low power multi-functional sensor nodes, operating in an unattended environment, with limited computation & Sensing capabilities. Sensor nodes are equipped with small, often irreplaceable batteries with limited power capacities [1]. As sensor nodes are basically battery-powered devices, the critical aspects to face concern how to reduce the energy consumption of nodes, so that the network lifetime can be extended to reasonable times. I concentrated on optimization of Hierarchical Energy Efficient Routing Protocols. Since unstable and unevenly distributed cluster heads can increase power of the network system in some existing protocol. I have proposed new technique for cluster head selection and cluster formation so it selects the consistent cluster heads in each round, also form the balance clusters in the existing hierarchical routing protocol (LEACH-C) to reduce the energy consumption of sensor nodes in sensing, processing and communication activities, overall it increases the lifetime of network.

II. CLASSIFICATION OF ROUTING TECHNIQUES

In general, routing in WSNs can be divided into flat-based routing, hierarchical-based routing, and location-based routing depending on the network structure. In flat-based routing, all nodes are typically assigned equal roles .In hierarchical-based routing; however, nodes will play different roles in the network. In location-based routing, sensor nodes' positions are exploited to route data in the network. A routing

protocol is considered adaptive if certain system parameters can be controlled in order to adapt to the current network conditions and available energy levels. Furthermore, these protocols can be classified into multipath-based, query-based, negotiation-based, QoS-based or coherent-based routing techniques depending on the protocol operation. In addition to the above, routing protocols can be classified into three categories, namely, proactive, reactive, and hybrid protocols depending on how the source nodes route to the destination. [4]. In a hierarchical architecture, higher energy nodes can be used to process and send the information while low energy nodes can be used to perform the sensing in the proximity of the target. This means that creation of clusters and assigning special tasks to cluster heads can greatly contribute to overall system scalability, lifetime, and energy efficiency. Hierarchical routing is an efficient way to lower energy consumption within a cluster and by performing data aggregation and fusion in order to decrease the number of transmitted messages to the BS. Hierarchical routing is mainly two-layer routing where one layer is used to select cluster heads and the other layer is used for routing. However, most techniques in this category are not about routing, rather on "who and when to send or process/aggregate" the information, channel allocation etc., which can be orthogonal to the multihop routing function. [5]

III. WSN APPLICATIONS AND CLUSTERING ADVANTAGES

Environmental applications:

- Forest fire detection
- Seismic Monitoring
- Flood detection
- Automated agriculture
- Ecological habitat monitoring

Military applications:

- Monitoring equipment
- Battle field surveillance
- Nuclear, biological and chemical attack detection
- Target tracking
- Monitoring enemy forces.

Health applications:

- Remote monitoring of physiological data
- Disease prevention
- Home applications:
- Home automation

- Home security
- Fire detection

Commercial applications:

- Vehicle tracking
- Industrial and commercial networked sensing
- Traffic flow surveillance

Advantages of Clustering

- 1) Transmit aggregated data to the data sink.
- 2) Reducing number of nodes taking part in transmission.
- 3) Useful energy consumption.
- 4) Scalability for large number of nodes.
- 5) Reduces communication overhead for both single and multihop [3]

IV. CLUSTERING ALGORITHMS FOR WSN

1) LEACH: Low-Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy

LEACH is a self-organizing, adaptive clustering protocol that uses randomization to distribute the energy load evenly among the sensors in the network. In LEACH, the nodes organize themselves into local clusters, with one node acting as the local base station or cluster-head. If the cluster heads were chosen a priori and axed throughout the system lifetime, as in conventional clustering algorithms, it is easy to see that the unlucky sensors chosen to be cluster-heads would die quickly, ending the useful lifetime of all nodes belonging to those clusters. Thus LEACH includes randomized rotation of the high-energy cluster-head position such that it rotates among the various sensors in order to not drain the battery of a single sensor. In addition, LEACH performs local data fusion to compress the amount of data being sent from the clusters to the base station, further reducing energy dissipation and enhancing system lifetime. [8]

Advertisement Phase: Initially, when clusters are being created, each node decides whether or not to become a cluster-head for the current round. This decision is based on the suggested percentage of cluster heads for the network (determined a priori) and the number of times the node has been a cluster-head so far. This decision is made by the node n choosing a random number between 0 and 1. If the number is less than a threshold T(n), the node becomes a cluster-head for the current round. The threshold is set as: [9] Define abbreviations and acronyms the first time they are used in the text, even after they have been defined in the abstract. Abbreviations such as IEEE and SI do not have to be defined. Do not use abbreviations in the title or heads unless they are unavoidable.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{P}{1 - P * (r \bmod \frac{1}{P})} & \text{if } n \in G \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Fig 2 LEACH Formula for CH Selection [5]

Where P = the desired percentage of cluster heads (e.g., P =

0:05), r = the current round, and G is the set of nodes that have not been cluster-heads in the last 1 P rounds. Using this threshold, each node will be a cluster-head at some point within 1 P rounds. During round 0 (r = 0), each node has a probability P of becoming a cluster-head. The nodes that are cluster-heads in round 0 cannot be cluster-heads for the next 1P rounds. Thus the probability that the remaining nodes are Cluster-heads must be increased, since there are fewer nodes that are eligible to become cluster-heads. After 1p 1 rounds, T = 1 for any nodes that have not yet been cluster-heads, and after 1P rounds, all nodes are once again eligible to become Cluster-heads. Future versions of this work will include an energy-based threshold to account for non-uniform energy nodes. In this case, we are assuming that all nodes begin with the same amount of energy and being a cluster-head removes approximately the same amount of energy for each node. Each node that has elected itself becomes cluster-head for the current round broadcasts an advertisement message to the rest of the nodes. in this cluster-head advertisement phase, the cluster-heads use a CSMAMAC protocol, and all cluster-heads transmit their advertisements using the same transmit energy.

Schedule Creation: The cluster-head node receives all the messages for nodes that would like to be included in the cluster. Cluster-head node creates a TDMA schedule telling each node when it can transmit. At this time schedule is broadcast back to the nodes in the cluster.

Data Transmission: Once the clusters are created and the TDMA schedule is fixed, data transmission can begin. Assuming nodes always have data to send, they send it during their allocated transmission time to the cluster head. This transmission uses a minimal amount of energy (chosen based on the received strength of the cluster-head advertisement). The radio of each non-cluster-head node can be turned off until the nodes allocated transmission time, thus minimizing energy dissipation in these nodes. The cluster-head node must keep its receiver on to receive all the data from the nodes in the cluster. When all the data has been received, the cluster head node performs signal processing functions to compress the data into a single signal. For example, if the data are audio or seismic signals, the cluster-head node can beam form the individual signals to generate a composite signal. This composite signal is sent to the base station. Since the base station is far away, this is a High-energy transmission.[10]

PEGASIS: Power-Efficient Gathering in Sensor Information Systems [11] the main idea in PEGASIS is for each node to receive from and transmit to close neighbors and take turns being the leader for transmission to the BS. This approach will distribute the energy load evenly among the sensor nodes in the network. We initially place the nodes randomly in the play field, and therefore, the ith node is at a random location. The nodes will be organized to form a chain, which can either be accomplished by the sensor nodes themselves using a greedy algorithm starting from some

node. At tentatively, the BS can compute this chain and broadcast it to all the sensor nodes. For constructing the chain, we assume that all nodes have global knowledge of the network and employ the greedy algorithm. We could have constructed a loop; however, to ensure that all nodes have close neighbors is difficult as this problem is similar to the traveling salesman problem. The greedy approach to constructing the chain works well and this is done before the first round of communication. To construct the chain, we start with the furthest node from the BS. We begin with this node in order to make sure that nodes farther from the BS have close neighbors, as in the greedy algorithm the neighbor distances will increase gradually since nodes already on the chain cannot be revisited. For gathering data in each round, each node receives data from one neighbor, fuses with its own data, and transmits to the other neighbor on the chain. Note that node i will be in some random position j on the chain. Nodes take turns transmitting to the BS, and we will use node number $i \bmod N$ (N represents the number of nodes) to transmit to the BS in round i . Thus, the leader in each round of communication will be at a random position on the chain, which is important for nodes to die at random locations. The idea in nodes dieing at random places is to make the sensor network robust to failures. In a given round, we can use a simple control token passing approach initiated by the leader to start the data transmission from the ends of the chain. PEGASIS performs data fusion at every node except the end nodes in the chain. Each node will use its neighbors data with its own to generate a single packet of the same length and then transmit that to its other neighbor (if it has two neighbors).

Problem and proposed Scheme




Fig.11: Static Node in Communication

Energy	Location(X,Y)
400	25,50
200	27,52
100	29,54
50	30,56
55	32,58
120	34,60
110	36,62
111	40,66
155	44,68

First round in (leach-c protocol) at base Station Table

Energy	Location(X,Y)
300	25,50
101	10,54
90	29,59
30	30,56
22	32,58
60	34,60
65	36,62
66	48,66
120	55,88

Second round Base Station Table

In LEACH-C protocol, at each round all the sensor nodes sends their location and energy to the Base Station. But for static nodes in the field its location remains same throughout the network lifetime. So we need to implement it such that these nodes will send their location only once to the BS so that their energy consumption will be low and we can improve network lifetime.

V. PARAMETERS AND RESULT

Table 1 Simulation Parameters of LEACH-C

Parameters	Value
Node distribution	(0,0) to (1000,1000)
BS location	(50,175)
No. of Nodes	50,100
Initial Node Energy	2J
Desired No. of cluster-heads	5%
Simulation Time	3600s




Figure 9 Energy consumption during simulation.




Figure 12: Data received at Base Station




Figure 10: Energy consumption during simulation




Figure 11: Data received at Base Station

VI. CONCLUSION

In the wireless sensor network, problems of resource constrains like overhead, energy, limited available bandwidth etc. We implemented optimized LEACH-C and done simulation of it. In Figure 9 to Figure 12, include the various graphs like data received at Base station and energy consumption etc. LEACH-C is better in terms of energy consumption and network lifetime than LEACH.

REFERENCES

- [1] Mr. Soumen Chatterjee 2. Mr. Mohan Singh, A Centralized Energy-Ecient Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks, Electric and Electronics Department, Terii, Kurukshetra-13611.
- [2] Kemal Akkaya, Mohamed Younis, "A Survey on Routing Protocols for Wireless Sensor Networks."
- [3] Suat Ozdemir, Yang Xiao b, "Secure data aggregation in wireless sensor networks: A comprehensive overview", Elsevier B.V, computer networks, 2009.
- [4] Nalin Vimal Kumar Subramanian, Survey on Energy-Aware Routing and Routing Protocols for Sensor, Department of Computer Science University of North Carolina Charlotte, North Carolina, USA.
- [5] Dr. Kemal Akkaya, Routing Protocols for Sensor Networks Hierarchical and Location-based and QoS Protocols, Department of Computer Science Southern Illinois University Carbondale.
- [6] Harneet Kour and Ajay K. Sharma. Hybrid Energy Efficient Distributed Protocol for Heterogeneous Wireless Sensor Network. International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 8887) Volume 4 No.6, July 2010
- [7] Arati Manjeshwar and Dharma P. Agrawal. TEEN: A Routing Protocol for Enhanced Efficiency in Wireless Sensor Networks In Proc, IEEE 2001
- [8] Vinay Kumar1, Sanjeev Jain and Sudarshan Tiwari, Energy Efficient Clustering Algorithms in Wireless Sensor Networks: A Survey, IJCSI International Journal of Computer Science Issues, Vol. 8, Issue 5, No 2,

September 2011

- [9] Wendi Rabiner Heinzelman, Anantha Chandrakasan, and Hari Balakrishnan, Energy-Efficient Communication Protocol for Wireless Micro sensor Networks, 33rd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences – 2000
- [10] Stephanie Lindsey and Cauligi S. Raghavendra. PEGASIS: Power-Efficient Gathering in Sensor Information Systems, Computer Systems Research Department The
- [11] Aerospace Corporation, April 2003.
- [12] <http://www.computer.org/csdl/trans/tm/2004/04/h0366-abs.html>
- [13] <http://www.nhu.edu.tw/~cmwu/Lab/TEEN.pdf>